

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

DAVID M. PAXTON (CASBN 266940)  
Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: (408) 535-50461  
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066  
Email: david.paxton@usdoj.gov

**\*E-FILED - 9/9/10\***

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 10-0388-RMW
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND []
	)	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM JULY
v.	)	12, 2010 TO AUGUST 12, 2010 FROM
	)	THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
ARTURO ROCHA-NAREZ ,	)	CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. §
	)	3161(h)(8)(A))
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	

On July 12, 2010, the parties appeared for a hearing before this Court. At that hearing, the government and defense requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act based upon the defense counsel's need to effectively prepare by reviewing discovery materials submitted by the government and the need to jointly negotiate a resolution in this matter. At that time, the Court set the matter for a hearing on August 12, 2010.

The parties stipulate that the time between July 12, 2010, and August 12, 2010, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree

1 that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of  
2 the public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18  
3 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

4  
5 DATED: August 2, 2010

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO  
United States Attorney

6  
7 /s/  
8 DAVID M. PAXTON  
Assistant United States Attorney

9  
10 /s/  
11 MARY E. CONN  
Attorney for Defendant

**ORDER**

Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the time between July 12, 2010, and August 12, 2010, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161. The court finds that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Furthermore, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. The court therefore concludes that this exclusion of time should be made under 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 9/9/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RONALD M. WHYTE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE